

Case No.	Respondent	Summary of Findings	Summary of Board Action
AUGUST 28, 2007			
M05-396	Crystal F. Pearl Architect #36012	<p>On or about September 30, 2004, Respondent failed to apply the technical knowledge and skill that would be applied by other qualified registrants who practice the same profession in the same area and at the same time. Respondent failed to submit plans to the City Planning and Zoning Department for review, comments and pre-approval before proceeding to construction drawings. Respondent failed to obtain prerequisite information before proceeding with the construction documents and failed to obtain an A.L.T.A. Survey and civil engineering for grading and drainage plans. Respondent's design plans for the building expansion were deficient in that Respondent failed to apply correct building and landscape setbacks; failed to coordinate the building's location between the site plans and civil plans; failed to show correct exterior elevations; and failed to comply with ADA requirements.</p>	<p>Suspension – Respondent's Registered Architect Registration No. 36012 shall be suspended for six (6) months or until restitution is paid, whichever is the longer.</p> <p>Probation – Respondent's Registered Architect Registration No. 36012 shall be placed on probation until Respondent pays restitution.</p>
M05-174 M06-293	Raad M. Salih P.E. (Civil) #34016	<p>Respondent failed to apply the technical knowledge and skill that would be applied by other qualified registrants who practice the same profession in the same area and at the same time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On or about February 6, 2004, Respondent prepared grading and drainage plans that were deficient in that Respondent failed to use the correct benchmark and county datum and failed to show correct contour lines for the existing fill slopes on the westerly side of the access road and other areas of the site. Respondent's grading and drainage plans are inconsistent in that the property line bearings and distances are not consistent with the Lot Closure. Respondent submitted grading and drainage plans to his client and failed to sign, date, and seal it or mark it "preliminary," "draft," or "not for construction." On or about April 4, 2004, Respondent prepared a Drainage Report that is deficient in that Respondent failed to provide information regarding the offsite drainage coming onto the lot and failed to provide information as to the minimum finished floor elevation. On or about June 21, 2005, Respondent prepared grading & drainage plans that are deficient in that Respondent failed to show sufficient existing grades along the street to set the correct finished floor elevations and failed to provide sufficient grades next to the house. 	<p>Letter of Reprimand Peer Review of Respondent's next two (2) grading and drainage projects.</p> <p>Cost of Investigation – Pay cost of investigation in the amount of \$1,215.00.</p>

M06-318 M06-146 M06-155	George H. Baker Architect #11374	<p>Respondent failed to apply the technical knowledge and skill that would be applied by other qualified registrants who practice the same profession in the same area and at the same time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On or about September 2002, Respondent sealed a site plan for a vision center that is deficient in that Respondent failed to provide correct drainage for the site plan and failed to research and show the correct number of parking spaces on the site plan. • On or about December 2004, Respondent sealed plans for a single family remodel and detached garage that are deficient in that Respondent failed to place the detached garage within the required setbacks and distance from the house; failed to show on his detail sheets anchors at the foundation or the roof structure of the rear patio; failed to show what part of the covered entry is new and what was existing and how they were attached; and failed to coordinate between the new garage sheet section and the other sheets • On or about March 29, 2005, Respondent sealed plans for a renovation of a building that are deficient in that Respondent failed to provide an accessible route to the second floor area consisting of an accessible ramp or elevator; failed to provide numerous accessibility designs for the disabled; failed to provide coordination between the structural details and the architectural plans; and failed to coordinate the site plan and the grading and drainage plan as it relates to the sewer lines. 	<p>Suspension – Respondent's Registered Architect Registration No. 11374 shall be suspended for a period of six (6) months. The suspension shall be effective from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008.</p> <p>Administrative Penalty – Pay an administrative penalty of \$1,000.00.</p> <p>Cost of Investigation – Pay cost of investigation in the amount of \$1,600.00.</p>
M07-041	Eric W. Sparkman P.E. (Civil) #34021	<p>On or about June 2006, Respondent failed to apply the technical knowledge and skill that would be applied by other qualified registrants who practice the same profession in the same area and at the same time. Respondent prepared and certified Grading and Drainage As Built plans that were deficient in that Respondent certified that the grading and drainage was constructed according to the approved plans and failed to accurately depict what was actually built. Respondent failed to review and field verify the as built plans; failed to indicate whether or not the berms, the valley gutters, and the high point asphalt grade break were installed to specifications; failed to certify that the required retention volumes had been provided; and failed to indicate changes to the elevations of the south and west basins with the approved design plans.</p>	<p>Letter of Reprimand</p> <p>Administrative Penalty – Pay an administrative penalty of \$2,000.00.</p> <p>Cost of Investigation – Pay cost of investigation in the amount of \$415.00.</p>